

Beth Howe

From: codeoffice@chebeague.net
nt: Monday, December 19, 2011 5:42 AM
ro: Beth Howe
Cc: townAdmin@chebeague.net
Subject: RE: Aquaculture

Hi Beth, The DEP were low key about the issues on Hope. The rip rap areas that had been shored up, so to speak, and they used some of there rocks to reinforce and maintain. There are two spots each about 25' to 50' long. A shoreland permit was required, for sure. This is a permit by rule, for DEP, an easy permit to get. The only thing DEP wants is for the path that has been developed to to be left alone to grow in, and mowed for foot traffic only, maybe 4' wide. They can develop a road back 75', if they desire. Mike Morse didn't find any cutting violations and in fact they have planted hundreds of trees along the shore. Your memo is great, Thanks for doing this. Unfortunately yesterday I came down with flue like illness and can't attend the meeting. I am sending this to Eric so he an plan to share this information. Sorry Eric I can't go, I was looking forward to this. Have a good meeting, Thanks, Ron

> Ron
> Do you want to meet today or tomorrow? Tomorrow Mark Dyer and I have
> a
road
> construction person coming over on the 10:30 boat, which only gives me
three
> quarters of an hour with him. But you and I could talk before 10:30.
uld
> it be useful if I wrote a memo based on the comp plan that could be
given
> to
> DMR. I could address the issues in Bob's email including a bit on
> what
would happen and how likely. In both cases, it seems to me that the issue
> would be that in three years we would be likely to oppose renewal of
> the
lease.
> If we do meet, Ernie would like to join us. As one of the
> intervenors,
it
> is important for him to know what is going to come up at the hearing.
The letter to Cacoulides is very low key and it sounds like DEP is taking
> the same approach. After the discussion at the PB last week, I think
this
> may make sense, but it would not please the people on Deer Point who
want
> a
> dramatic slap-down.
> Is the rip-rap on the shore similar to the bluff stabilization things
> we
have had over here? If so he should go through DEP the Planning Board --
> . can't believe that the money for doing the plan would be much of an
issue
> for Mr. C. But he would probably get permission to do it.

> Beth
> -----Original Message-----
> From: codeoffice@chebeague.net [mailto:codeoffice@chebeague.net] Sent:
Saturday, December 17, 2011 5:54 AM
> To: bethhowe@chebeague.net
> Cc: townAdmin@chebeague.net
> Subject: Aquaculture
> Hi Beth, Here's the letter I am sending to Mr.and Mrs. Cacoulidis
regarding
> the Hope trip.
> Also, I have read the comprehensive plan, what a great job you did on
this,
> and would like your insights into the issue before the hearing on
Monday.
> I
> am working on Bustins today but could come over SUNDAY or Monday
> before
the
> hearing. I know you have company so let me know if this is possible.
> The
town, as I see it, has a interest in not allowing this or any lease from devaluing this
possible site for a mooring field and or wharf area.
Thanks, Ron

Beth Howe

From: Bob Earnest [bob324@chebeague.net]
ent: Thursday, December 15, 2011 5:43 AM
fo: peggie@chebeague.net
Subject: Re: Issue on lot purchase

Hi Peggie,

It was definitely NOT Beth talking to Ron. Once I told Beth that Ron said it would work, Beth left it alone; she has now told me that she feels bad that I've invested in survey and septic work and that she wishes she had been more persistent.

It WAS me talking to Ron and pointing out the clause that he missed. I only became suspicious that Ron's earlier statement was in error after the surveyor warned me last week that I would not get a permit; then I called Abby at Douglas Title, and then I called Lew Holman, and they both said that Ron's earlier statement was wrong.

I'm trying to find a solution. It will require that I make the lot larger than the current 16,100sf; I'll have to make it 20,000 to meet the state requirement. Then, I'll have to figure out a way to deal with the Chebeague 1.5 acre requirement, but I have some ideas.

Hang in there! And again, I have a copy of your deed now from the surveyor, so Becky doesn't need to dig that up.

Hope all is well in Alaska.

h,

Bob

On Dec 15, 2011, at 1:26 AM, peggie@chebeague.net wrote:

> Hi Bob,
>
> Unfortunately, the house was in my name also. I can try to have Becky
> find that deed.
> What bothers me is that this is the issue that Beth Howe was fighting
> me on and I had told Ron that. Late this fall Ron said that he had
> checked and according to his research, that didn't matter as long as
> it was a building lot before 1975.
> I think Beth heard what was going on and spoke to Ron. The lot was
> bought as a separate piece and we were always going to build on it,
> either for the kids or let them have the big house and we would build
> something small for ourselves. This is where the planning board and
> comprehensive plan come up with what they want for the future without
> taking into account the people involved or monies spent. They have
> always been two separate lots and were bought at different times with
> separate deeds. I don't understand Ron's retraction at all. I would
> never have offered it for sale if I hadn't been told it was okay. I hope this can be
> resolved.
>
> Peggie

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>> Hi Peggie,
>>
>> Sorry to bother you on your vacation..
>>
>> Ron is changing his opinion; he now says that the Chebeague zoning
>> ordinance prevents you from selling lot 12 to me. His point is that
>> the grandfathering does not apply since you own the lot next to it.
>>
>> I'm pursuing the question vigorously, as I have an email from Ron
>> from early December saying that it's grandfathered.
>>
>> Meanwhile, can you tell me if the deed to the lot on which your house
>> sits (lot 15) is in your name? If it was in someone else's name,
>> then it might help. And, is it possible for me to get a copy of that deed from Becky?
>>
>> I'm going to work very hard to get past this issue. Any help you can
>> offer would be appreciated.
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Bob