COASTAL WATERS COMMITTEE
MINUTES, MEETING OF 3/21/2019

MEMBERS PRESENT: Alex Todd, Justin Doughty, Aaron Rugh, Geoff Summa

PUBLIC PRESENT: Marjorie Stratton, Genaro Balzano,

1. Call to Order 6:03

2. Approval of Minutes from 2/14/19. Motion by Justin Doughty, Geoff Summa, 2nd. Unanimous.

3. Reports: Harbormaster: Aquaculture activity high. Looking into Webcam options. Looking into pedestrian walkway. CIO lease hearing to be held April 8th, CWC members encouraged to attend.

4. Old Business: Discussion about CTC/RAMP Expansion: CWC recommends that the town first decide what location is best suitable for an access point to Cnebeague, to then be used by CTC for a ferry service moving forward.

5. New Business: Proposed Pedestrian Barrier, good idea for safety reasons. HM to research.

   CWC should adopt a position moving forward regarding Sunset development if discussion continue.

   CWC feels camera on Stone Wharf would be a good idea.

6. Motion to Adjourn at 7:26 by Alex Todd, Justin Doughty 2nd. Unanimous.

Motion by Geoff Summa: Recommend to the BoS that we update the Rules and Regulations to match the Coastal Waters Ordinance so that fees may apply at Chandler's Wharf. In addition, remake the motion from 6/25/2018: Recommend to the BoS that Section 8, “Cousins Island Float System” LENGTH RESTRCITION be amended to be changed from “per interlocal
MEMO

To: Board of Selectmen  
From: Marjorie E. Stratton, Town Administrator  
Date: August 14, 2019  
Re: Coastal Waters Commission and CTC Proposal for 80-foot Ramps

On February 27, 2019 the Board of Selectmen asked the Coastal Waters Commission (CWC) to consider a few questions about the proposed installation of 80’ ramps at the Stone Wharf. The CWC met March 21, 2019 to discuss the questions.

1. **Does CWC recommend an engineering study as a next step for this project?** No, we need to first figure out where (Stone Wharf / Sunset) we are going to spend our money. We need to decide on an access point and we need to know what is the best recommendation. We need to decide where and what our infrastructure will be on the island before setting out to build a ramp.

2. **If engineering is warranted or if an engineering study is warranted, does the document titled “Chebeague Transportation Company general recommendations for public access improvements on ramps and floats at Cousins Island and Stone Wharf” submitted by CTC at your 2/14/2019 meeting provide adequate specification for such a study?** No, this assumes one solution with no basis in engineering. This is just one opinion and not necessarily the best idea based on engineering. What CTC presented was very preliminary. With regard to Cousins specifically, we would recommend an engineering study for that ramp since it is exposed to much more weather and the proposed drawings really didn’t factor this.

3. **Does CWC have a recommendation as to sole sourcing or RFP process for the engineering study?** Not at this time. We should have an RFP process for determining the best solution for Stone Wharf and Cousins. What kind of ramp do we need? We should hire an engineering company to tell us what is best which may not be an 80’ ramp.

**Comment:** What will CTC allow employees to do after installation of a longer ramp? With an 80’ ramp, would CTC employees take wheelchair passengers up and down the ramp at any tide?

Thank you,

Marjorie